

Contents

Preface

Foreword

Contributors

PART 1 CONGENITAL VASCULAR MALFORMATIONS (CVMS) IN GENERAL

SECTION 1 DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

1 ISSVA classification: Controversy with the benefit and liability

Raul Mattassi

2 Hamburg classification: Controversy with the benefit and liability

Peter Gloviczki and David J. Driscoll

3 Syndromic classification of congenital vascular malformations: How useful is it?

Francine Blei

4 Consensus on contemporary classification

Byung-Boong Lee and Leonel Villavicencio

SECTION 2 DIAGNOSIS

5 How extensive study should be included in initial assessment for congenital vascular malformations

Byung-Boong Lee and James Laredo

6 Should hemangiomas be included in initial differential diagnosis for congenital vascular malformations?

Francine Blei

7 To what extent should genetic studies be incorporated for assessment of venous and arteriovenous malformations, and when?

Sandro Michelini, Stefano Paolacci, and Matteo Bertelli

8 Indications for genetic testing in evaluation of lymphatic and hemolympathic malformations

Stanley G. Rockson

SECTION 3 MANAGEMENT

- 9 Importance of interdisciplinary team approach for evaluation and management of vascular malformations

Megha M. Tollefson, Peter Gloviczki, and David J. Driscoll

- 10 How will genetics influence management of vascular malformations?

Francine Blei

PART 2 ARTERIOVENOUS MALFORMATIONS (AVMS)

SECTION 4 DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

- 11 Confusion with arteriovenous fistula versus arteriovenous malformation of ISSVA classification

Dirk A. Loose

- 12 Nidus or no nidus: Is it a crucial issue for diagnostic assessment of arteriovenous malformations?

Furuzan Numan

- 13 New classification of arteriovenous malformations based on angiographic findings: What are the advantages?

Young Soo Do and Kwang Bo Park

SECTION 5 DIAGNOSIS

- 14 Arteriographic assessment: Is it still the gold standard for diagnosis of arteriovenous malformations?

Hendrik von Tengg-Kobligk, Sabrina Frey, Dominik Obrist, and Iris Baumgartner

- 15 Ultrasonographic assessment: New role for arteriovenous malformations. How far can it be implied?

Erica Menegatti and Sergio Giancesini

- 16 Magnetic resonance angiography and/or computed tomography angiography: New gold standard for arteriovenous malformations?

Massimo Vaghi

- 17 Transarterial lung perfusion scintigraphy (TLPS): New role for follow-up assessment?

Raul Mattassi

SECTION 6 MANAGEMENT: 1

- 18 Do all the arterio-venous malformations mandate the therapy? Is there any contraindication for the therapy?

Byung-Boong Lee and James Laredo

19 How much is too much for arteriovenous malformation management?

Young-Wook Kim

20 Ethanol sclerotherapy: Is it gold standard for arteriovenous malformation management?

Iris Baumgartner, Mihai Constantinescu, Jochen Karl Rössler, and Hendrik von Tengg-Kobligk

21 N-butyl cyanoacrylate versus Onyx embolotherapy

Furuzan Numan

SECTION 7 MANAGEMENT: 2

22 Surgical therapy combined with embolo-/sclerotherapy: Multidisciplinary approach

Paul Marji, James Laredo, and Byung-Boong Lee

23 Indications for amputation in patients with arterio-venous malformations

Young-Wook Kim

24 How much pharmacological therapy can be incorporated into arteriovenous malformation management?

Jochen Karl Rössler and Iris Baumgartner

25 Secondary changes in arteriovenous malformations: Arteries, veins, tissues, bones, when do they have to be treated?

Dirk A. Loose

PART 3 VENOUS MALFORMATIONS

SECTION 8 DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

26 Capillary and cavernous hemangioma and venous malformations

Byung-Boong Lee and James Laredo

27 Angiographic classification of venous malformations based on venous drainage status:

What are the advantages?

Kwang Bo Park and Young Soo Do

SECTION 9 DIAGNOSIS

28 Ultrasonographic assessment: Mandatory test to lead the assessment of the venous malformations?

Pier Luigi Antignani

- 29 Conventional and dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (dceMRI) and/or magnetic resonance venography: Diagnostic modalities with different objectives? Can they replace phlebography?

Jovan N. Markovic and Cynthia K. Shortell

- 30 Computed Tomography (CT) and CT Venography: How are these diagnostic modalities different from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for evaluation of venous malformations?

Jovan N. Markovic and Cynthia K. Shortell

- 31 Whole-body blood pool scintigraphy (WBBPS): Special role for management of venous malformations?

Raul Mattassi

SECTION 10 MANAGEMENT: 1

- 32 Do all venous malformations require treatment?

Kurosh Parsi and Mina Kang

- 33 How much is too much for venous malformation management?

Pier Luigi Antignani

- 34 What is the first option for venous malformation management?

Sarah Bernhard, Aleksandra Tuleja, Jochen Karl Rössler, and Iris Baumgartner

- 35 Ethanol sclerotherapy: Is it gold standard for venous malformation management as well?

Young Soo Do

- 36 Foam sclerotherapy: First option for venous malformations?

Kurosh Parsi and Mina Kang

- 37 Considerations regarding feasibility, safety, and efficacy of N-butyl cyanoacrylate (n-BCA) and Onyx embolization for the treatment of venous malformations

Jovan N. Markovic and Cynthia K. Shortell

SECTION 11 MANAGEMENT: 2

- 38 Surgical therapy of venous malformation combined with embolo-/sclerotherapy: How much and when?

Dirk A. Loose

- 39 How aggressive should management be of vascular bone syndrome caused by venous malformation?

Raul Mattassi

- 40 To what extent should anticoagulation therapy be considered for venous malformations?

Alfonso Tafur, Evi Kalodiki, and Jawed Fareed

- 41 How to approach treatment of marginal vein combined with deep vein hypoplasia/aplasia?

Dirk A. Loose

- 42 Indications for amputation in patients with extensive venous malformations

Dirk A. Loose

- 43 How much pharmacological therapy can be incorporated in venous malformations management?

Francine Blei

PART 4 LYMPHATIC MALFORMATIONS

SECTION 12 DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

- 44 Confusion on terminology: Primary lymphedema and lymphangioma (lymphatic malformation)

James Laredo and Byung-Boong Lee

- 45 Contemporary diagnosis of primary lymphedema and lymphatic malformation

Stanley G. Rockson

- 46 Clinical staging of lymphedema: How practical is it for clinical management of primary lymphedema?

Sandro Michelini, Alessandro Fiorentino, Alessandro Failla, and Giovanni Moneta

- 47 Laboratory (lymphoscintigraphic) staging guideline?

Byung-Boong Lee and James Laredo

SECTION 13 DIAGNOSIS

- 48 Ultrasonographic assessment of lymphatic malformations—Lymphangioma and primary lymphedema: A new role for diagnosis?

Erica Menegatti and Sergio Giancesini

- 49 Radionuclide lymphoscintigraphy—Gold standard for assessment of lymphatic malformation:

Lymphangioma and primary lymphedema or both?

Vaughan Keeley

- 50 Magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic resonance lymphangiography of primary lymphedema:
A new gold standard?
Ningfei Liu
- 51 Indocyanine green fluorescent lymphography: Clinical implementation
Takumi Yamamoto
- 52 Oil contrast lymphangiography: New role for the surgical candidate?
Francesco Boccardo, Sara Dessalvi, Corrado Cesare Campisi, and Corradino Campisi
- 53 Fluorescent microlymphangiography: Controversy, confusion, and neglected problems
Claudio Allegra, Michelangelo Bartolo, and Salvino Bilancini
- 54 Can indocyanine green replace role of lymphoscintigraphy?
Takumi Yamamoto
- 55 How to differentiate between lymphedema and lipedema: How to rule out lipedema
Győző Szolnoky

SECTION 14 MANAGEMENT: 1—PRIMARY LYMPHEDEMA

- 56 Manual lymphatic drainage: Myth?
Isabel Forner-Cordero and Jean-Paul Belgrado
- 57 Compression therapy: Optimal pressure? Bandage versus stocking
Isabel Forner-Cordero and Jean-Paul Belgrado
- 58 Sequential intermittent pneumatic compression: Rationale? How much can it be incorporated into compression therapy?
Isabel Forner-Cordero and Jean-Paul Belgrado
- 59 Reconstructive surgery: Lymphovenous anastomosis versus lymph node transplantation—
Can they stay as independent therapy options?
Corradino Campisi, Francesco Boccardo, Melissa Ryan, Michele Maruccia, Fabio Nicoli, Pietro Di Summa, and Corrado Cesare Campisi
- 60 Excisional surgery: When and how much it can be incorporated
Justin Chin-Bong Choi, James Laredo, and Byung-Boong Lee
- 61 Multidisciplinary approach with liposuction in primary lymphedema: Is there a

difference
compared to patients with secondary lymphedema?

Robert J. Damstra and Håkan Brorson

62 How much pharmacological therapy can be incorporated in primary lymphedema management?

Vaughan Keeley and Neil Piller

63 What is difference in management of primary lymphedema between adults and children, and how much?

Cristobal M. Papendieck and Miguel A. Amore

64 How to manage lipedema involved with primary lymphedema?

Győző Szolnoky

65 How to assess response/efficacy of manual lymphatic drainage and compression therapy

Győző Szolnoky

66 How to assess efficacy of lipedema management involved in lymphedema

Győző Szolnoky

67 Pathophysiology behind adipose tissue deposition in lymphedema and how liposuction can completely reduce excess volume

Håkan Brorson

68 Liposuction: Can it be applied to management of lipedema?

Robert J. Damstra and Tobias Bertsch

SECTION 15 MANAGEMENT: 2—LYMPHANGIOMA

69 How to manage lymphatic leakage involved in lymphangioma?

Xi Yang, Xiaoxi Lin, and Ningfei Liu

70 How to manage recurrent infections involved with lymphangioma?

Xi Yang, Xiaoxi Lin, and Ningfei Liu

71 How should aggressive chyl reflux (e.g., chyluria, chyloascites, chylothorax, chyle leakage) be handled?

Cristobal M. Papendieck and Miguel A. Amore

72 Pharmacological considerations for lymphatic malformation management

Stanley G. Rockson

73 How much different should the management of lymphangioma among the

pediatric/neonatal age group be?

Cristobal M. Papendieck and Miguel A. Amore

74 Peculiarities in surgical treatment in childhood: Can we ignore?

Juan Carlos López Gutiérrez

PART 5 COMBINED VASCULAR MALFORMATIONS: HEMOLYMPHATIC MALFORMATIONS/KLIPEL–TRENAUNAY SYNDROME

SECTION 16 DIAGNOSIS

75 To what extent should diagnostic study be extended for assessment of arteriovenous malformation involvement in Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome?

Massimo Vaghi

76 How much should diagnostic investigations incorporate visceral involvement for Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome?

Massimo Vaghi

SECTION 17 MANAGEMENT: 1

77 How to decide priority for treatment among congenital vascular malformation components

James Laredo and Byung-Boong Lee

78 Management of vascular bone syndrome: How aggressive and when?

Jong Sup Shim and Young-Wook Kim

79 How aggressively should varicose veins be managed in Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome?

Sergio Giancesini and Erica Menegatti

80 How aggressive should management be of indolent stasis ulcer in Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome?

Sergio Giancesini and Erica Menegatti

SECTION 18 MANAGEMENT: 2

81 How should aggressive gastrointestinal bleeding in Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome be handled?

Massimo Vaghi

82 Therapeutic considerations for infection, sepsis, and lymphatic leak management in patients with Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome

Jovan N. Markovic and Cynthia K. Shortell

- 83 How to manage coagulopathy in Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome?
Alfonso Tafur, Evi Kalodiki, and Jawed Fareed
- 84 Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome: Pain and psychosocial considerations
Jamison Harvey, Megha M. Tollefson, Peter Gloviczki, and David J. Driscoll
- 85 Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome and complex venous malformations: Should multimodality approach be standard of care?
Kurosh Parsi and Mina Kang

PART 6 CAPILLARY MALFORMATIONS (CMS)

SECTION 19 DIAGNOSIS

- 86 Is this capillary malformation? Differential diagnosis and other dermal vascular lesions
Kurosh Parsi and Mina Kang
- 87 How much diagnostic assessment for port-wine stains should be extended for other vascular malformations to exist together?
Peter Berlien

SECTION 20 MANAGEMENT

- 88 Port-wine stains/capillary malformation among patients with Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome:
How to select a candidate for laser therapy and when
Peter Berlien
- 89 To what extent should surgical excision be implemented to port-wine stains, and when?
Peter Berlien
- 90 To what extent could laser therapy and surgical excision be combined for port-wine stain management?
Peter Berlien

Epilogue

Index

ISSVA classification: Controversy with the benefit and liability

RAUL MATTASSI

The classification of vascular anomalies of the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies (ISSVA) was approved by the general assembly of the society during the 19th meeting in 2014 in Melbourne, Australia, and updated and approved in 2018 during the general assembly of the society in 2018 in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. This classification is the result of a main job of the French pathologist Michel Wassef, who tried to create a structural basis in which all the different types of vascular anomalies could be included.¹

The classification has a multilevel structure with a main first step (an overview), which includes all the types of defects and many subgroups in which all the known anomalies are included. One of the main advantages of this classification is the possibility to add different new forms without changing the main base, as this topic is quickly evolving due to active research in the field (Figure 1.1).



ISSVA classification for vascular anomalies

(Approved at the 20th ISSVA Workshop, Melbourne, April 2014, last revision May 2018)

This classification is intended to evolve as our understanding of the biology and genetics of vascular malformations and tumors continues to grow

Overview table

Vascular anomalies				
Vascular tumors	Vascular malformations			
	Simple	Combined *	of major named vessels	associated with other anomalies
Benign	Capillary malformations	CVM, CLM	See details	See list
Locally aggressive or borderline	Lymphatic malformations	LVM, CLVM		
	Venous malformations	CAVM*		
	Arteriovenous malformations*	CLAVM*		
Malignant	Arteriovenous fistula*	others		

- * defined as two or more vascular malformations found in one lesion
- * high-flow lesions

A list of causal genes and related vascular anomalies is available in [Appendix 2](#)

The tumor or malformation nature or precise classification of some lesions is still unclear. These lesions appear in a [separate provisional list](#).

[Abbreviations used](#)

For more details, click on the underlined links

Figure 1.1 International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies classification, first overview table, last revision 2018. Available at www.issva.org—by clicking on the underlined links, additional tables open with all subgroups of vascular anomalies.

One of the main updates of the classification, approved in Amsterdam, was the inclusion in the classification of the new genetic data. This rapidly developing field requires a continuous update that is easily possible with such a flexible classification.

To switch over to the new classification from the older one, however, was not quickly achieved by many doctors, as some aspects of the new one were not immediately understood. Old, eponym-/syndrome-based classifications (Klippel-Trenaunay/Parkes Weber syndromes and others) and hemodynamic-/flow-based classifications (fast flow/low flow) remain to cause significant confusion and failed to make a clear distinction between types of malformations (e.g., arteriovenous [AV] malformation and AV fistula).

The most accepted former classification was the Hamburg one (Belov, 1989), which has the advantage of simplicity, only dividing the different types of anomalies in main groups, rendering simple and clear a field that was confusing in the past.^{2,3}

The Hamburg classification was an anatomopathological one, with a distinction between defects of the main vessels (truncular forms) and areas of dysplastic vessels in tissues (extra-truncular defects). The distinction is important because extra-truncular defects were considered areas of immature vessels (remnants of primitive vascular tissue) that may recur, while truncular forms may not. The issue is discussed in detail in Chapter 2.

Similar groups (truncular and extra-truncular) exist also in ISSVA classification however in different names. Truncular forms are denominated in ISSVA classification “defects of the main named vessels,” an expression a little uncomfortable to use because of its length. Extra-truncular forms are named in ISSVA “simple forms,” a term that may be misleading in case of extensive defects.

In the first/original version, ISSVA classification failed to distinguish clearly truncular lymphatic defects, which were included in the “simple” group, but this is now corrected through the latest version.

The general structure of this new updated version, however, is complex, due to the different tables (a total of 19) that cover all types of defects, including some forms that are still not clearly understood. Nevertheless, it can be managed simply by the basic classification on the first overview table (see [Figure 1.1](#)), which is roughly similar to the Hamburg classification, with only a new group, the association with other anomalies. To go more in detail, further tables and subclassifications can be consulted ([Figure 1.1](#)).

In conclusion, the ISSVA classification is the most modern and complete classification for vascular anomalies. For vascular malformations, it is based on the type of defect, like in the Hamburg classification. The step-by-step structure, from general overview to single groups, allows all known defects to be included and new knowledge to be adapted and expanded. Genetic data inclusion is a further progression.

REFERENCES

1. Wassef M, Blei F, Adams D et al. ISSVA Board and Scientific Committee. Vascular anomalies classification: Recommendations from the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(1):e203–e214.
2. Belov St, Loose DA, Weber J. *Vascular Malformations*. Reinbeck: Einhorn Presse; 1989: 29 (Editor’s comment, classification).
3. Belov St. Anatomopathological classification of congenital vascular defects. *Semin Vasc Surg*. 1993;6(4):219–224.

Hamburg classification: Controversy with the benefit and liability

PETER GLOVICZKI AND DAVID J. DRISCOLL

Classifications are organized knowledge of a subject. As new information accumulates, updates or entirely new, powerful classifications emerge. The best classifications of diseases and disorders are not only all inclusive, but they also guide us in management.

Vascular malformations have been classified in many ways, some simply based on appearance of the lesions or resemblance to fruits, birds, fish, or insects. More complex classifications were arranged according to morphological features, embryological development, endothelial characteristics, and cell biology, hemodynamics, angiographic appearance, or genetics.¹⁻⁷ The classification from the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies (ISSVA) was approved at the 20th ISSVA Workshop in Melbourne, Australia, in 2014 and updated in 2018.^{8,9} As presented in Chapter 1, the updated ISSVA classification has been gaining traction, particularly because of major recent progress in genetics. It is used frequently now by scientists and physicians worldwide, since this complex classification, based on anatomy, embryology, and genetics, is helpful in management, particularly when deciding on drug therapy based on genetic information.

The Hamburg classification has had an established role in the classification of vascular malformations; it is simple and easier for clinicians for everyday use than the continuously enlarging, updated, and all-inclusive ISSVA classification with over 120 types of vascular anomalies listed now in 13 tables.⁹ Using the updated Hamburg classification, physicians can make rapid assessments of the type and extent of the malformation, which is particularly helpful in selecting interventions for management. Clinical practice guidelines of the International Union of Phlebology,¹⁰ the

International Union of Angiology,¹¹ the Italian Society for Vascular Investigation,¹¹ the American Venous Forum,³ and the European Society for Vascular Surgery all recommend at present using the modified Hamburg classification of vascular malformations.¹²

MODIFIED HAMBURG CLASSIFICATION

The Hamburg classification was developed under the leadership of Belov, Loose, and Weber during the seventh Meeting of the International Workshop on Vascular Malformations in Hamburg in 1988.¹³ The group working on vascular malformations was formed earlier by Mulliken during their first meeting in Boston, Massachusetts, in 1976. The classification was updated in Seoul, Korea, in 1995, and the currently used modified Hamburg Classification was published in the 2013 Consensus Document of the International Union of Phlebology, by Lee and others.¹⁰ The modified Hamburg classification is primarily an anatomic classification, with an embryological subclassification.

Primary anatomic classification

The primary anatomic classification distinguishes the subgroups based on predominant anatomy. Although the original classification did not include all segments of the circulatory system, in the new, updated classification, the anatomical subclasses are all inclusive, and arterial, venous, arteriovenous, capillary, lymphatic, and mixed vascular malformations are distinguished (Table 2.1). Parts 2, 3, 4, and 6 of this book discuss in detail each anatomic class. Over 70% of the vascular malformations are mixed, and these frequently complex abnormalities may include capillary, venous, arteriovenous, or lymphatic elements. Although the Hamburg classification discourages the use of eponyms, many have been widely accepted and used. The list of some of the clinical syndromes with vascular malformations includes Klippel–Trenaunay (Figure 2.1a through c), Parkes Weber, Servelle–Martorell, Sturge–Weber, Rendu–Osler–Weber, von Hippel–Lindau, Kasabach–Merritt, Proteus, and Maffucci syndromes, among others. Syndromic classifications are presented in detail in Chapter 3 and in Part 5 of this book.

Table 2.1 Modified Hamburg classification of congenital vascular malformations

- A. Anatomical classification (predominant vascular bed)
- Arterial malformation
 - Venous malformation
 - Arteriovenous malformation
 - Lymphatic malformation
 - Capillary malformation
 - Combined vascular malformation

B. Embryological subclassification

1. Extra-truncular forms

- Infiltrating, diffuse
- Limited, localized

2. Truncular forms

- Obstruction (occlusion or stenosis)
 - Agenesis
 - Aplasia
 - Hypoplasia
 - Coarctation
 - Hyperplasia
 - Membranous obstruction
 - Congenital spur
- Dilatation
 - Aneurysm (localized)
 - Ectasia (diffuse)
- Persistence of embryonic vessels
- Anomalies of the origin, course, number, and length of major vessels
- Anomalies of venous valves
- Abnormal arteriovenous communication of truncal vessels

Source: Adapted from Lee BB et al. *Int Angiol.* 2015;34:97–149.



Figure 2.1 (a) Thirty-five-year-old male with advanced symptomatic Klippel–Trenaunay syndrome, presenting with a larger and longer left lower extremity, phlebo-lymphedema, extensive capillary malformation, and atypical lateral varicosity with a persistent lateral embryonic vein. (b) Venogram shows the huge persistent lateral embryonic vein and a patent, although diminutive deep venous system. (c) Venogram of the entire leg and pelvis. (From Rathore A et al. *J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord.* 2018;6:523–525.)